Soniox German Speech Recognition Benchmarks

Soniox Inc, December 2023 support@soniox.com https://soniox.com

Abstract

Soniox has conducted an extensive evaluation of the accuracy of different speech recognition providers in the industry. The benchmarks are summarized as follows:

- **Providers evaluated:** Soniox, OpenAI, Google, AWS, Azure, NVIDIA Riva, Deepgram, AssemblyAI, Speechmatics, and Rev AI.
- Languages evaluated: German.
- **Evaluation datasets**: real-world dataset of YouTube videos varying in acoustic conditions, speaking styles, accents, topics, and the number of speakers.
- **Ground truth transcriptions** were transcribed and double-reviewed by humans, then normalized to ensure a fair evaluation across different providers.
- **Processing modes evaluated**: asynchronous transcription (file or batch) and streaming (live) transcription.
- Results:
 - Soniox achieved the most accurate speech recognition results in both async and streaming modes by large margins.
 - In async mode, AssemblyAl holds the second place with Soniox having 27% greater accuracy. In streaming mode, Azure holds the second place, yet Soniox leads with 36% higher accuracy.
 - The lowest accuracy was observed with Google, AWS, and NVIDIA.

Results

Word Error Rates (WER)

For each dataset, we evaluated all providers in **asynchronous** (file or batch) and **streaming** (live) modes. The metric reported is Word Error Rate (WER), an industry standard metric for evaluating the accuracy of speech recognition systems, which measures the percentage of words misrecognized. A lower WER indicates a more accurate speech recognition system.

Dataset	Hours	Mode	Soniox	OpenAl	Google	AWS	Azure	NVIDIA	Deepgram	AssemblyAl	Speechmatics	Rev Al
YouTube videos	10	Async	7.28%	19.76%	15.44%	12.74%	12.32%	16.20%	13.73%	9.21%	9.96%	10.71%
		Streaming	8.65%	N/A	19.55%	20.77%	12.32%	18.72%	15.66%	N/A	12.89%	20.77%

Soniox achieved the lowest WER (highest accuracy) in both modes by very large margins. In async mode, the second place belongs to AssemblyAI with 1.93% higher WER. In streaming mode, Azure placed second with 3.67% higher WER. Most other providers fall far behind Soniox and incur much higher WERs.

Note that OpenAI (Whisper) and AssemblyAI are only supported in Async mode and not in Streaming mode.

Soniox Improvement

The table below shows how much of an improvement Soniox speech recognition AI brings over other providers, if you were to transcribe the same audio.

Dataset	Hours	Mode	Soniox	OpenAl	Google	AWS	Azure	NVIDIA	Deepgram	AssemblyAl	Speechmatics	Rev Al
		Asvnc	N/A	70%	61%	51%	49%	63%	55%	27%	34%	39%
YouTube videos	10	Streaming	N/A	N/A	62%	65%	36%		51%		39%	65%

Soniox outperforms other providers by a very large margin. For example, in async mode, **Soniox makes 61% fewer errors than Google**. That is, Soniox fixes 61% of the errors that Google's speech recognition AI makes.

Note, the improvement metric has been computed by taking the original WER reported in the table above, from which we subtracted the estimated amount of error in ground truth transcriptions. We were conservative in these estimates and estimated only 2% of human error for the YouTube videos dataset.

Methodology

Datasets

To represent **real-world** speech recognition use cases, we selected our evaluation dataset to include difficult real-world speech environments, conversations with crosstalk and interjections. All datasets are spoken in the German language.

Dataset	Difficulty Level	Duration
YouTube videos	Medium / High	10 hours

Metric

We calculated the Word Error Rate (WER) following the standard definition:

$$WER = \frac{Number\ of\ Words\ Recognized\ Incorrectly}{Number\ of\ Words\ in\ Ground\ Truth}$$

Number of Words Recognized Incorrectly = Substitutions + Insertions + Deletions

Ground Truth Transcriptions

- 1. Ground truth transcriptions were labeled and double-reviewed by humans.
- 2. Ground truth and provider transcriptions were then normalized to achieve a fair evaluation across different providers. See examples below.

Normalization Type	Normalization Performed				
Filler words	Filler words (e.g. uhm) were removed				
Punctuations	Punctuations were removed				
Capitalization	Catarina ⇒ catarina				

Models Evaluated

We evaluated the latest and most accurate models from each provider in both streaming and asynchronous processing modes. To do so, we integrated with every provider's API carefully following their documentation. All models were evaluated on December 13th, 2023.

Provider	Async Model	Streaming Model
Soniox	de v2	de v2 lowlatency
OpenAl Whisper	large-v3 (de)	Streaming is not supported
Google	latest_long (de-DE)	latest_long (de-DE)
AWS	Amazon Transcribe (de-DE)	Amazon Transcribe (de-DE)
Azure	Standard (de-DE)	Standard (de-DE)
NVIDIA Riva	Riva ASR (de-DE)	Riva ASR (de-DE)
Deepgram	nova-2-general (de)	nova-2-general (de)
AssemblyAl	Asynchronous Transcription (de)	Streaming is not supported
Speechmatics	Transcribe a File Enhanced (de)	Transcribe in Real-time Enhanced (de)
Rev Al	Asynchronous Speech-to-Text API (de)	Streaming Speech-to-Text API (de)

Contact Information

Email: support@soniox.com

If you have any questions, comments or suggestions about the benchmarks, feel free to reach out to us. We welcome your feedback and are always looking for ways to improve the benchmarks.

If you would like us to include a new evaluation dataset in the next benchmarking report, also reach out to us and we would be happy to do so.

Benchmark Your Dataset

If you would like to evaluate speech recognition providers on your dataset, please reach out to us, and we will assess the providers of interest on your dataset and provide you with the complete results, including the outputs of every provider.